v.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Ronald L. Green,

Plaintiff,

Herman F. Moeller et al,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:13-cv-739-JAD-PAL

Order Dismissing Action

Pro se plaintiff Ronald L. Green, an employee of the Internal Revenue Service, alleges that his Fourth Amendment rights were violated during an EEOC proceeding he initiated to obtain employee medical benefits. He commenced this action on April 30, 2013, and amended his complaint on July 5, 2013. The Clerk entered various defaults, but those defaults were later reversed upon motion. *See* Docs. 19, 20, 25. The Defendants filed a motion to dismiss this action on December 27, 2013, arguing, *inter alia*, that Plaintiff failed to allege facts to state a viable claim, Defendant Moeller is entitled to qualified immunity, and Plaintiff's *Bivens* claims against Moeller are time-barred. Doc. 26. In response, Plaintiff moves this Court for permission to withdraw his claims. Doc. 29. Defendants have offered no response to this request.

The Court treats Green's request as a motion for voluntary dismissal pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a). *See Bernhardt v. Los Angeles Cnty.*, 339 F.3d 920, 925 (9th Cir. 2003) (acknowledging that courts must construe pro se motions and pleadings liberally). Rule 41(a)(1) allows voluntary dismissal by the plaintiff without a court order if the plaintiff files a notice

Case 2:13-cv-00739-JAD-PAL Document 31 Filed 02/03/14 Page 2 of 2

1	of dismissal "before the opposing party serves either an answer or a motion for summary judgment."
2	Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 41(a)(1). Rule 41(a)(2) permits the court to dismiss an action at the plaintiff's
3	request "only by court order, on terms that the court considers proper." Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 41(a)(2).
4	No defendant has answered or moved for summary judgment, and thus, Plaintiff could have secured
5	dismissal merely by filing a Rule 41(a)(1) notice. Thus, the Court finds good cause to order
6	dismissal of this action under 41(a)(2).
7	Accordingly, for good cause appearing and with no reason for delay,
8	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this action is DISMISSED without prejudice. Defendants
9	Motion to Dismiss [Doc. 26] is DENIED as moot.
10	DATED February 3, 2014.
11	X MOLE
12	Jennifer A. Dorsey United States District Court Judge
13	Office States District Court Judge
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	